POLITICAL COMMUNICATION IN REAL TIME

Theoretical and Applied Research Approaches

Edited by Dan Schill, Rita Kirk and Amy E. Jasperson



CONTENTS

List	s of Illustrations and Chapter Appendices	ix
Pref	ace	xiii
_	es Editor's Foreword	xviii
Ack	nowledgments	xix
Abo	ut the Editors	xx
Abo	ut the Contributors	xxii
	T। al Time Effects: Measuring Political Message ects by the Second	1
1	The History, Reliability, Validity, and Utility of Real Time Response Dan Schill	3
	Collecting, Interpreting, and Analyzing Continuous Response Data Jennifer L. Burton, Jan Gollins, and Danielle Walls	29
3	Strategic Insights: The News Value of Real Time Response Measurements Rita Kirk	49
4	Social Media and the Rise of the Connected Voter Sean Evins	64

Re	रा ॥ al Time Effects: Measuring Political Message ects by the Second	87
5	High-Frequency Polling with Non-Representative Data Andrew Gelman, Sharad Goel, David Rothschild, and Wei Wang	89
6	Moment-to-Moment Responses to Race-Based Messages in Political Campaign Advertisements Stephen Maynard Caliendo, Charlton D. McIlwain, and Elizabeth Dudash-Buskirk	106
7	Measuring Effects of Candidates on Voters in Germany: A Methodological Comparison Between Real-Time-Response Measurement and Facial Coding Simon Ottler, Reza Mousa-Kazemi, and René Resch	128
8	Scalable Multidimensional Response Measurement using a Mobile Platform Philip Resnik, Amber E. Boydstun, Rebecca A. Glazier, and Matthew T. Pietryka	143
Pa	RT III rtisanship and Polarization: Real Time Selective ormation Processing	169
9	Polarization in Less than Thirty Seconds: Continuous Monitoring of Voter Response to Campaign Advertising Shanto Iyengar, Simon Jackman, and Kyu Hahn	171
10	Polarization in the 2012 Presidential Debates: A Moment-to-Moment, Dynamic Analysis of Audience Reactions in Ohio and Florida Amy E. Jasperson, Jan Gollins, and Danielle Walls	196
11	How Attacks and Defenses Resonate with Viewers' Political Attitudes in Televised Debates: An Empirical Test of the Resonance Model of Campaign Effects Marko Bachl	225
12	Moments of Partisan Divergence in Presidential Debates: Indicators of Verbal and Nonverbal Influence Shawn R. Hughes and Erik P. Bucy	249